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To

Dr. Melissa Norton,

Editor-in-Chief, The BMC-series journals

Dear Dr Norton,

Thank you for considering our MS: 1543760476185532 titled “Cost-Effectiveness of MRI Compared to Mammography for Breast Cancer Screening in a High Risk Population” for publication in *BMC Health Services Research* journal; and thank you for accepting the manuscript for publication. In this submission, we have revised Figure 2 in response to the comment made by the reviewer.

Below is the response to the reviewer’s comment. Once again, thank you for your time and consideration and for the opportunity to revise the manuscript. Please contact me at (404) 778-5554 or at Christopher.Flowers@emoryhealthcare.org if you have any questions.

Regards,

Christopher Flowers, MD, MS
Department of Hematology Oncology, Winship Cancer Institute, Emory University,
1365 Clifton Road, N.E. Building C, Suite 3006
Atlanta, GA USA 30322
Phone: (404) 778-5554; Fax: (404) 778-5520
Email: Christopher.Flowers@emoryhealthcare.org
Response to comment by Reviewer 2

We would like to thank you for your detailed and thoughtful comments that have helped us revise our manuscript. We are glad that you found that we have addressed all your previous comments and suggestions to your satisfaction. Below is the response to your suggested minor essential revision.

1. In the Tornado diagram (Figure 2), the bottom two entries, MRI False Negative in Node Positive Pt and Mammo False Negative in Node Positive Pt, the entire ranges do not overlap with the base case. This is somewhat confusion. Do the ranges not contain the base case or is this for a subset of patients not considered as the entire population in the base case? If it is for a subset of patients, please include a legend note or some other comment that explains this. If for some other reason, please address accordingly.

We accept the reviewer’s comment. This was an error and we have revised Figure 2.