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Reviewer's report:

1. The question is well defined.
2. Methods are appropriate to address the question. Minor essential revisions are needed in the explanation of the analytical approach. Many readers may not be familiar with network analysis and more detail is needed. In particular, discuss the terms OutDegree data and InDegree data in the methods section. Also please provide a description of how the median rank scores are computed in Table 5. For readers unfamiliar with the network analysis how these ranks are derived is not clear.
3. Data are sound.
4. The manuscript adheres to relevant standards for reporting and data deposition.
5. The discussion and conclusions are well balanced.
6. The limitations of the study were not discussed in the discussion of the results. Authors might add that as a discretionary revision.
7. The authors provide information about work they are building on.
8. The title and the abstract accurately convey the contents of the manuscript.
9. The writing is excellent.
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