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Reviewer's report:

I hope that you have found my comments helpful. I am still curious as to the types of processes-of-care that require patient interview or audiotaping since at least some data about information sharing between clinicians and patients is found in a medical record. I think that an additional table with examples of measures and the category they were placed in or an appendix with all of the measures and the category they were placed in would help the reader in understanding the classification system and assessing its validity.

Thank you for the opportunity to review your paper.
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