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Reviewer’s report:

Excellent revision that effectively addresses the concerns expressed in the first stage of review.

Major Compulsory Revisions
None.

Minor Essential Revisions
None.

Discretionary Revisions
I found the third sentence in the first paragraph of Methods a bit unclear. What is meant by "changing patient presentation to fit in with existing services?" Is it the patient that is changing their own presentation, or the authors changing something?

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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