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Major comments:
- The topic is important in reforming the health care system. The research question is well defined. Conclusions are interesting. The writing is acceptable.
- The study design is simple. The sample size is sufficient and the comparability with the population is well maintained. There is no control group.
- Using Logistic regression appears overstretched for the data collected. It appears the Logistic regression does not fit the purpose of the study (p6, p20).
- It is confusing to seek colinearity among independent variables (p7). A backward selection would have avoided colinearity.

Minor comments:
- In Table 1, the percentages do not add up to 100%. They appear not to be caused by rounding errors.
- In Table 2, the last p value appears too large to be kept. Explain why it is not eliminated by the backward selection.
- In Table 2, explain the difference between “White vs Hispanic” and “White vs Black”
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