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Reviewer's report:

Comments.
The article is much better. But I do miss some of the before mentioned references. I COULD FIND them in English, when I searched Popline, social science motors and Pubmed for their names. (Vangen, Essen, Elise B Johansen (her phd was four ethnographic articles). Anyway, it is not a big deal. But their theoretical and empirical findings is important, also in the context that these women actually also have adverse perinatal outcomes in addition to FGM

page 7. I disagree a little about "primary health care one of the best" - it is a good place, but there is evidence that schools, kindergardens, and even maternities are good focal points as well. Primary health care is one entry point for......
The schools identify girls that have been travelling, and maternities are a good place to discuss details if there is a girl child born to a mother who has had FGM.
And - in many other places, specialists like pediatricians and gynecologists belong to the referral sites, not primary care!

Specific comments: page 5, Europe, not European Union. There are immigrants to Europe outside the Union too.

I feel the discussion is a bit long.
Other than that, I guess the article has improved enough.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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