Reviewer's report

Title: Furthering patient adherence: A position paper of the International Expert Forum on Patient Adherence based on an Internet forum discussion

Version: 2 Date: 7 February 2008

Reviewer: John F Steiner

Reviewer's report:

The paper is much improved. The authors have been responsive to the comments of the 3 reviewers. The paper now uses direct quotations from the experts very effectively. Underlying concepts are better explained and more clearly stated.

Major compulsory revisions - none

Minor essential revisions

1. Two reviewers had concerns with the wording of the second sentence in the background section. Although the authors reworded this, the revision still gives a misleading sense about the extent of the adherence problem. An alternative wording might be: "...non-adherence problem grows even more important as..."

Discretionary revisions - none

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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