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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear editor,

Following changes have been made to the manuscript:

In the Methods section p 4 we changed ¿anonymous hospital identifiers¿ into ¿anonymous hospital and patients identifiers¿.

In the same section on p 10 we added the sentence: ¿The study being (1) of a retrospective, non-interventional type and (2) anonymous with respect to both hospitals and patients, no approval by an ethics committee is required in Belgium. ¿

We also included on p 23 the paragraphs ¿Competing interests¿, ¿Authors¿ contributions¿ and ¿Acknowledgements¿.