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Reviewer’s report:

Major Compulsory Revisions
None

Minor essential revisions

Abstract: The authors should add to the Results section a sentence indicating that none of the established differences were statistically significant.

Figure 1: the first two boxes in the top should be deleted; it is unclear to which population the number of 61 cases refers. The study population was defined on the basis of their age; therefore start with the box "Patients 6-16 years with..."

Discretionary revisions

Carry out a final check on spelling (e.g. page 6, line 3: "basis" and not: bases; page 9, line 2, second sentence "one of the" instead of "both"; page 16, line 4: "threat" instead of "thread").

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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