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Dear Editorial Team,

Thank you for the peer reviews of our manuscript “Effect of changed organisation of nutritional care of Danish medical inpatients”. We hope that the following additions and changes made according to the issues and questions raised satisfy the comments from referee 1 and the editorial team. The revised manuscript conforms to all the points mentioned. Below the response is given point-by-point.

Referee 1:

1. The articles in the field are updated.

2. Methods:
   a. The training program has now been described in greater detail.
   b. The education in Denmark of the social- and healthcare assistants is now briefly described. In addition, it is described that the social and healthcare assistants are chosen because they take great interest in and derive much experience from previous job functions with regard to nursing care of nibblers, elderly and patients with senile dementia and lung function.

   The background for hiring and training the three social and healthcare assistants has now been described in the Background section: “Normally in Danish hospitals the nursing team is jointly responsible for the nutritional care of the patients. Nevertheless, several studies suggest that the nutritional care in daily practise is given low priority in the nursing care. Some of the identified factors that inhibit optimal nutritional care in the wards is lack of time and interest with regard to the nutrition care among the nursing staff, and that the responsibility for the practical implementation of nutritional care is collective, but that only few are actively engaged. So the question is…”
   
   c. As described in the section “Patient screening”, a registered nurse conducted the Nutritional Risk Screening. If she assessed that the patient was at nutritional risk, the next step in the program (ref 21) was to initiate nutritional therapy. In the intervention the registered nurse has the possibility to delegate the responsibility for the nutritional therapy to the nutritional and healthcare assistant. The following is included in the text: the assistant is professionally trained to work actively with nutritional care and has the necessary time to do it in practice.
   
   d. Job function: A sparring partner is a collaborator - a person with whom you regularly have friendly arguments or discussions. The word “sparring partner” is excluded from the manuscript.
e. The effect of the intervention: One of the investigators is responsible for assessing the effect of the intervention and is doing all the interviews. It is now explained in the text.

f. The questionnaire: The questionnaire is based on a questionnaire developed and used in (18). The questions were designed to focus on issues believed to be related to the patients’ nutritional intake and to reflect their experience with the nutritional care they have received. The questionnaire has been validated through interviews with five medical patients to ensure that the questions were unambiguous, that the patients understood the questions and that the order of the questions was correct (26). This is now explained in the text.

g. The questionnaire was used to the structured interviews of the patients. The patients had the possibility of giving open comments to all the closed-ended questions, and the comments were written down word-by-word. The interviewer was always the same person. This is now explained in the text.

h. Evaluation by the staff: The participants in the eight focus groups, what kind of questions and how they are developed have now been described.

i. In the text it is now explained who did the assessment.

j. The patients’ dialogue with the staff. In the text it is emphasized that these results stem from the structured interview with the patients.

k. In the discussion it is emphasized that the results from this study show that the nutritional care only works when a specific staff is there to do the tasks. In the conclusion it is pointed out that a program describing a specific staff to do the tasks in the day, evening and weekend duty is recommended to eliminate under nutrition of patients during hospitalisation.

Editorial team:
1. To improve the style of written English, a copyeditor has corrected and prepared the manuscript for publication.

2. The authors have clarified the ethical approval of the study as well as the verbal consent given to the study subjects.

3. The revised manuscript conforms to the journal style.

We all agree about the changes in the reviewed manuscript. We are looking forward to your reply.

Yours sincerely

Karin O. Lassen
Ruth Nyholm
Edvin Grinderslev