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Reviewer's report:

General

In sincerely want to congratulate the authors with their revision of this manuscript. In my opinion the paper has improved a lot!

I only have one suggestion left: given that the authors have used a linear regression analysis they could also report the proportion of explained variance. As a reader I consider this a very comprehensible measure. (discretionary)

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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