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Reviewer's report:

General

This paper does not really need a statistical review. The use of a survey is an appropriate mechanism for answering the research question. The descriptive statistics presented are clear. 95% confidence intervals could be added to the columns in Table 2 but I don't think that this is necessary and may even make the table less easy to follow. These descriptive statistics support the comments made in the discussion.
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Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

None
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Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

None

What next?: Accept without revision

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.