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To: the Senior Assistant Editor  
BMC Health Services Research

Dear Dr. Kouremenou,

Please accept the enclosed newly revised manuscript of the original research article titled “Translating and validating a Training Needs Assessment tool into Greek” (MS: 1284105367116557). As suggested, we have prepared the attached response to the concerns raised by Referee 2.

On behalf of all co-authors, we would like to thank you for your consideration and the opportunity to improve the manuscript.

Sincerely yours,

Adelais Markaki, RN, MSN, MA, CS  
PhD Candidate, School of Health Sciences, Univ. of Crete  
Clinical Specialist in Community Health Nursing  
Regional Health & Welfare System of Crete
Authors’ response to Referee’s 2 comments:

1) In regards to clarifying retest sample estimation and assumptions made, a full description is now provided under the subheading *Participants and data collection* in Methods – Validation section (page 7).

   Appropriate sample size was tabulated as prescribed by Walter et al. 1998¹ (Table II, page 106). The assumptions made were the following: 1) Type I error = 0.05, 2) Type II error = 0.20, 3) the level of \( \rho_0 \) was defined at 0.6 (based on previous experience), 4) the level of \( \rho_1 \) was defined at 0.9 and 5) \( n=2 \) (test-retest). Based on all the above, adequate sample size was determined to be 10 subjects.

2) In regards to the statement of anonymity, an appropriate correction and further clarification has been inserted in the text under the subheading *Participants and data collection* in Methods – Validation section (page 7).
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