Reviewer’s report

Title: Functional mapping of hospitals by diagnosis-dominant case-mix analysis

Version: 2 Date: 31 March 2007

Reviewer: Francesc Cots

Reviewer’s report:

General
Good work. All the text that you have added gives sufficient information for understanding the manuscript as a whole. But in any case i still encounter lack the main conclusion of the article. That there are differences between hospitals is clear and that if the present system of financing does not recognize it, it must be corrected. But with which algorithm?. Or tracks about new steps are written or at least, it is cited like the objective for other studies.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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