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Reviewer's report:

General
Though the paper is improved, the authors have neglected to respond to MAJOR COMPULSORY REVISIONS from the last review.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
1. Power analysis is still lacking.
2. Results are in the methods section rather than the results section.
3. Tables are introduced in the methods section rather than in the results section.
4. Outlier analysis and sensitivity analysis are lacking.

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Reject because scientifically unsound

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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