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Reviewer's report:

General
You have revised the manuscript in a proper way and also considered most of the suggestions for revisions. The only remaining question is described below.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
I still do not have an overview of your population. For example I cannot work out how the authors calculate that 78% have had a glucose test? What is N in this situation???

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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