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Reviewer’s report:

General

I congratulate the authors for having addressed my concerns. The paper is an interesting contribution to the young science of performance measurement. Address the minor revision below and the paper is as good as ready.

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

p.2: last sentence of the abstract needs correcting/clarifying "...expect when hypothecated...".

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

Which journal?: Appropriate or potentially appropriate for BMC Medicine: an article of importance in its field

What next?: Accept for publication in BMC Medicine after minor essential revisions

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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