Reviewer's report

Title: Effects of Health Services Quality on the Use of Intrauterine Devices in Egypt

After reading the revised manuscript and the authors' response to reviewers', I recommend acceptance with two minor revisions.

Minor Essential Revisions
a. The abstract needs editing reflect the revisions, especially the first sentence in the conclusions.
b. Typo on page 8, paragraph 2. I believe the authors meant “total family planning quality index”.

Discretionary Revisions
c. The manuscript would still benefit from more discussion of the quality results. In particular, the new Table 4 suggests that Counseling and Examination Rooms are driving the quality results, but only for public sector clinics. Can the authors add any context about why?
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