Author's response to reviews

Title: Using and interpreting cost-effectiveness acceptability curves: An example using data from a trial of management strategies for atrial fibrillation

Authors:

Elisabeth Fenwick (e.fenwick@clinmed.gla.ac.uk)
Deborah A Marshall (marshd@mcmaster.ca)
Adrian R Levy (alevy@cheos.ubc.ca)
Graham Nichol (nichol@u.washington.edu)

Version: 3 Date: 12 April 2006

Author's response to reviews: see over
April 12, 2006

Dear Editor

I can confirm that I have uploaded a revised version of our article entitled "Using and interpreting cost-effectiveness acceptability curves: An example using data from a trial of management strategies for atrial fibrillation". This version has been revised in light of your requirements prior to publication, as detailed in your e-mail of 5th April 2006.

Specifically:

**Title & Abstract** – I can confirm that these are identical in the manuscript and in the submission system.

**Author list** – I can confirm that the list of authors in the manuscript is written exactly as in the submission system.

**Keywords section** – I have removed the keywords section from the manuscript.

**Word count** - I have removed the word count from the manuscript.

**Abstract** – I have renamed 'Results and discussion' simply 'Results'.

**Running title** - I have removed the running title from the title page.

**References** – all references are cited in the text using consecutive numbers in square brackets e.g. [1] and the section has been re-titled using lower case letters.
Authors contributions – This has been changed to Authors' contributions.

Figure title – I can confirm that the figure files are not labelled with the title and number and that the legend and title are part of the manuscript file after the reference list.

I can confirm that the material submitted within this paper has not been published elsewhere and is not under consideration for publication elsewhere.

Yours faithfully,

Elisabeth Fenwick