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Reviewer's report:

General
The manuscript reads very well and is a meaningful contribution to the literature.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
none

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
none

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)
few related to English language usage

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable
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Declaration of competing interests:
I declare that I have no competing interests.