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Reviewer's report:

General

I am satisfied with the changes made by the authors in response to my comments.

Major Essential Revisions

None

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

The article still needs some basic editing to correct minor errors in grammar and word use. For example, in the 2nd sentence of the last paragraph on page 18, the authors use the word "secluded" when they apparently mean "limited". Also, when discussing when to measure satisfaction with choice, one time is not necessarily better than another. Rather, the interpretation of satisfaction with the amount of choice measured several years after choosing a physician is necessarily different than the interpretation of satisfaction with the amount of choice immediately after choosing.

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No
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