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Reviewer's report:

General

The manuscript is much improved and no major revisions are needed.

__________________________________________________________________________

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

None.

__________________________________________________________________________

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

The breakdown of visits/year to a primary care office in the tables should be modified from "<2, 2-<3, 3-<5, >=5" to "1, 2, 3-4, >=5" to make it clearer. Similarly, visits to identified PCC should be changed to 0-25, 26-49, 50-74, 75-100.

__________________________________________________________________________

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

None.

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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