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Reviewer's report:

General
The authors did a very good job of responding to my previous comments.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

1. I am still bothered by the dismissal of promoting center use as an output, given the description of the centers. I would like to see, at the very least, some discussion of how health education covers this output. But I consider this an editorial call, rather than compulsory. It is more, in my mind, than a minor correction left to the author, but I think the paper should be published with or without this change. I jsut think it would be better with it.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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