Dear Editor,

Thank you for giving us the opportunity to revise our manuscript. We have followed all the required formatting changes requested, and we would like to thank the reviewers for their suggested revisions.

Below are our responses to these suggestions.

Yours sincerely,

Zelda Di Blasi

Reviewer: Elina Hemminki

Reviewer's report:

Minor Compulsory Revisions
1. The last sentence of the results of Summary is unclear (see also comment 4).
   R. This sentence has been removed.
2. In methods, p.9, they say that: "Responses were not tape recorded, but transcribed directly on a computer file". In the text direct citations are given, implying that they were able to record the answers word by word. Please, clarify the technical method, how this was made during a telephone interview.
   R. Responses were typed using shorthand. This has now been included in the methods section.
3. Page 10, the second para: "None of the 7 individuals ..." unclear (next page 4 patients).
   R. This sentence has been re-written: "These individuals were excluded from this survey".
4. p.15, the last para, the first sentence, unclear (see also comment 1).
   R. This sentence has been re-written: "Many of the reactions to study results tended to be exclamations".
5. Table 3, the group "inappropriate": not clear what this means.
   R. The group "inappropriate" refers to participants for whom debriefing was felt to be insensitive. For example for those who were very confident that they had not participated in a clinical trial.