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Reviewer's report:

General
I think the premise of this manuscript is undeniably an excellent one. I would however, have to suggest that the manuscript needs to be very carefully edited from the perspective of grammar and syntax. As it reads now, there are many areas which require considerable improvement in this area. Also, I would recommend that the authors explicate more fully the different coding levels of the grounded theory method. Secondly, I would also suggest that more discussion be afforded the reason as to why grounded theory is so appropriate for this study. While some explanation is indeed provided, an elaboration would broaden the understanding of the method of choice.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Unable to decide on acceptance or rejection until the authors have responded to the major compulsory revisions

Level of interest: An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

Quality of written English: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited

Statistical review: No
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