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Reviewer's report:

General

The authors have addressed each point in the previous review thoroughly.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

None

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

None

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

Some very minor grammatic points, but of no particular concern.

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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