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Reviewer's report:

General
I am happy with the covering letter detailing the authors' responses. However, on review of the manuscript, it seems that little has changed.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

For points I raised previously that the authors contest cannot be addressed (such as small sample size, lack of clustering, pulse ox by race, etc.), I suggest a short summary be included under the limitations section.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No

Declaration of competing interests:
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