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Reviewer's report:

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined?
   Only to some extent
2. Are the methods appropriate and well described?
   Yes
3. Are the data sound?
   Yes
4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?
   Yes
5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?
   No
6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated?
   No
7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished?
   No
8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found?
   No
9. Is the writing acceptable?
   Needs some language corrections

Comments:
1. Title: Is not clear. The term pharmacoeconomics could be omitted and replaced as 'Evaluation of cost implicated to adverse drug reactions among......
2. In abstract, it is not clear what is mentioned as 'degree of ADR'
3. Introduction: Some review of literature on cost implicated to ADRs could be added
4. Methodology and results:
It would be ideal to convert and represent the cost in USD as well so as the international readers could comprehend the details more effectively.

5. It is not clear what is meant by 'scored below 1'. Does it refer to Naranjos scale.

6. Results and discussion:
Even though the study is concentrating on cost implicated, there seems to have too much focus on type of ADRs, drugs involved, etc.
It would be more appropriate to evaluate the cost implicated based on nature of ADR, drugs involved, body system affected.

7. Discussion; Kindly clarify 'cost of 3 groups'

8. Discussion: Comparison with previous literature is lacking
Limitations for the study needs to be clearly stated.

9. Conclusions:
Needs to more clearly derived from the study results and should have major recommendations.

**Level of interest:** An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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