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Reviewer's report:

The phrasing in the sentence in lines 128-129 does not make sense. I believe the authors meant to say that effectiveness was considered 100%/100%/75%/50% and then zero. However, they say it was diminished by those amounts. This must be fixed.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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