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Reviewer’s report:

Major Compulsory Revisions
From reading the abstract, it is unclear whether or not this manuscript is a sub-study of the WSD study?
Was the WSD 3230 patients or the sub-study?
How was usual care standardized?

Minor
In Abstract results why were 95% CI’s omitted for some data but not others?

Discretionary
The work is well written and presented but this is perhaps a reflection of the article length. As this is an open access journal I am presuming article length does not need to be curtailed? As the manuscript is rather lengthy and may be shortened without compromising reporting quality.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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