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Author's response to reviews:

Please find attached our revised submission for consideration as a research article in BMC Health Services Research. As requested, we now include the names of each Ethics Committee (page 9).

This study describes in detail the development, piloting and psychometric evaluation of CDIS, a five-language standardised assessment of involvement and satisfaction in clinical decision-making, including both staff-rated and patient-rated versions. The study followed established best practice in developing multi-language measures, and included robust psychometric evaluation.

The CDIS assessment was developed as part of a larger European Union funded programme of research called CEDAR. More than ten CEDAR papers are currently in preparation, so this CDIS paper will be widely cited once published.

None of the authors report any competing interests.