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Prof David Preen
BMC Health Services Research
BioMed Central
236 Gray's Inn Road
London WC1X 8HB United Kingdom

Dear Professor Preen,

Thank you for your email dated 13\textsuperscript{th} February 2014 accepting our manuscript “Enhancing provision of written medicine information in Australia: pharmacist, general practitioner and consumer perceptions of the barriers and facilitators” for publication into BMC Health Services Research.

We are pleased to resubmit a further revised version with amendments clearly shown in track changes. The response to the editorial requests is detailed as below:

**Re: Questionnaires**

\textbf{Editor:} We believe it would be beneficial for the questionnaires used for this study be attached to your manuscript as additional files so that readers can refer to them easily.

\textbf{Authors response: We have provided the full surveys. Please be aware the three surveys are each 8 pages long. Only a section of each survey is reported in the manuscript submitted for publication in this journal. Results pertaining to other sections have been reported elsewhere. Should the length of the survey prove onerous for publication we would be happy to extract the sections of the surveys relevant to this manuscript.}

**Re: Ethical approval**

\textbf{Editor:} Please amend this statement so that it is clear that "ethical" approval was granted. We also ask that you provide the name of the IRB that approved this study.

\textbf{Authors response:} The section has been amended as follows: “Ethical approval was granted from the University of Sydney Human Research Ethics Committee to conduct this national study, which consisted of telephone surveys administered to consumers and mail surveys for healthcare professionals (GPs and pharmacists).”

**Re Introduction**

\textbf{Editor:} Please rename this section the "Background".

\textbf{Authors response: Amended.}

Please accept our thanks for accepting our manuscript for publication. The time and valuable feedback provided by the reviewers and editorial team has been greatly appreciated. Should you require any further information or clarification pertaining to our article please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kim Hamrosi
Corresponding author

(on behalf of A/Prof Aslani and Prof D.K. Raynor)