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Reviewer’s report:

Minor Essential Revisions:
Is the question posed by the authors well defined?
Please clarify in the article whether the lack of knowledge about case management teams is just in France or whether this extend to other locations.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
Yes, however it would be useful to know why the questionnaires where conducted anonymously when the focus groups were not. How were these two data sets coordinated as one.

Please explain the following statement further: ‘Observation and documentation were used to validate the information obtained from the interviews’. What process was used? How was this validated? Was this ethnographic observation? What form of documentation was used? And so on.

Are the data sound?
Further explanation is required as to why the case manager that worked alone was excluded from the study. The article clearly states ‘case management would not be possible without day-to-day presence of other case managers’, however; this would have been more clearly validated if data had also been collected from the case manager who worked alone and not as part of a team.

Is the writing acceptable?
The authors are advised to check the correct use of the English language throughout the article.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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