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**Reviewer’s report:**

The authors have made an excellent revision and responded thoughtfully and thoroughly to the reviewer comments. I have only two remaining comments. I would suggest that Response 6 to my Comment 6 (this is also the same as Response 6 to Dr. Peter Groenewegen) should be incorporated into the manuscript. Also, I note that the Editor’s request to have information about IRB approval was not incorporated into the text, although the Editor requested it be done.

In terms of language, I suggest the Objectives be changed to past tense to match the rest of the article.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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