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**Reviewer’s report:**

Thank you for your invitation to re-review this paper. I stated in my first review that 'I regret that I cannot recommend publication as it is currently presented' given the main fact of the authors only conducting two focus groups. I suggested in be presented as an exploratory study and the authors have responded simply by changing the title and adding a study limitation. This is not sufficient. The aims of the study remain to explore perceptions...and identify strategies. This cannot be done with two focus groups. I do not recommend publication of this paper
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