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Reviewer's report:

Minor Essential Revisions:
1. p. 2: "Speech pathologists should continue to adapt the stroke CPG to their local work environment and evaluate its use." should be reworded (e.g., "Speech... to adapt stroke CPGs ...and evaluate their use.")
2. p.25: "...when the guideline: presented information clearly, promoted client centred card, and were based..." should be "was based..."
3/ p. 31: "may have influenced guideline implementation such the influence of age..." should be "...such as the influence of age..."

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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