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**Reviewer's report:**

A very interesting and worthwhile paper. I particularly found the section on 'dealing with research evidence' very useful.

**Major Compulsory Revisions**
None

**Minor Essential Revisions**
Page 8, first paragraph - missing " after disinterest, 'the statements were multiply coded' - reword to 'the statements were coded multiple times'

**Discretionary Revisions**
I would like to see, if possible, an example document - perhaps a snapshot of the front page of one of these consumer sheets would be useful?

I think the discussion should include some reference to similar studies (if there are any), and how the findings of this study relate to these studies.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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