Reviewer’s report

Title: The players and the process behind Uganda’s National Health Insurance Scheme: a case study of Uganda

Version: 5 Date: 13 May 2013

Reviewer: Amy Hagopian

Reviewer’s report:

First, I am so sorry to be late with this report. It’s a busy time of year for academics!

This is a vastly improved manuscript, and much more interesting to read. A little English language editing might be in order, which I hope the journal can provide.

Table 2 should provide brief (one phrase) descriptions of the NHIS, CHIS and PCHIS, just because people will look at it out of context. Table 3 might benefit from being turned on its side.

In the "Financing of proposed NHIS" section, authors refer to "The formal sector workers and their four dependents who make 10% of the country population..." That is confusing. You mean "up to four of their dependents?"

I still find it hard to believe the solidarity fund will be sufficient to cover the non-formal sector workers, but that isn't really the point of the article, so I can let that go.

The "Conceptual Framework" section on page 12 is probably still more information than we need.

Nice job.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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