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**Reviewer's report:**

Thank you very much again for the opportunity to review the manuscript. The authors again have worked thoroughly on the paper!

There is one last comment for a discretionary revision left:

On page 7 the authors argue for a limit of 11 % missing data for the exclusion of items from the analysis because of a cited limit in previous research of 13 %. Why then not 13 %?

If my reviewer colleague Jeremy Miles is happy with the rest of the amendments I do not have objections anymore.

**Level of interest:** An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.