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Reviewer's report:

Major Revisions
1. Abstract: Results: Unclear what "lack of HCP confidence" means? Need to expand this sentence. Lack of confidence in prescribing devices?
2. The Focus Group guide (i.e., the questions asked of each focus group) needs to be included either in methods section or an appendix.
3. Methods, Data analysis bottom of page 8: How were the codes developed? Did you establish apriori, use of a framework, summarize the data for emergent themes?

Minor Essential Revisions.

Results: Would replace names of respondents with general ID such as Carer1, Carer 2, HCP3, HCP4 instead of use of first names to protect identities.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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