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Reviewer's report:

Minor Compulsory Revisions - Details comments inserted in the manuscript document.

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined? (Yes)
2. Are the methods appropriate and well described? (Need to rephrase and add little bit more and must extract extra information from methods section. Detail is mentioned in the attached file)
3. Are the data sound? (Yes)
4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition? (Need to revise and rephrase of language little bit especially syntax-wise)
5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data? (Need to clear little bit more especially conclusion. It would be better if both are presented together)
6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated? (No. Need to put the limitation of the study separately and put ones that are faced during working on it)
7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished? (Yes, clearly mention)
8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found? (No. Abstract needs to be rephrased and reworded especially its background, results and conclusion section.)
9. Is the writing acceptable? (There are some gaps, need to improve more. (Though results section is somewhat acceptable but other than that whole document needs a rephrasing syntax-wise including few parts of result section too)

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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