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Thank you for response to previous reports. I am now satisfied that your paper is a quality addition to the literature about the use of online-discussion groups in occupational therapy.

Major Revisions: Nil

Minor Revisions:

1. In limitations and recommendations, sentence 4, you have slipped into using the first person ‘we’ and ‘our’. As you have not used the first person anywhere else in the paper, it seems out of place and should be corrected for consistency.

Discretionary Revisions: Nil

If authors agree to complete the minor revision as suggested, I do not feel the need to see the paper again.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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