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Reviewer’s report:

I appreciate you taking the time to address my concerns. I think you have addressed them adequately for the most part. With respect to my third comment --> Can you justify (i.e., cite sources) running the CFA and when that did not work running EFA on half the sample and CFA on the other half of that sample AS OPPOSED TO running an EFA on half the sample and then running CFA on the other half? It is clear that this is exploratory work and I am not sure the initial CFA is important for your paper. Upon reading the results, the reader will know why you did not conduct the initial CFA - because it would not have held up.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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