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**Reviewer's report:**

1. The authors clearly posed the question which is important to the health care society.

2. **Major Compulsory Revisions**
   The methods of testing reliability and validity of the instrument were appropriate. The authors described the research process in details, however, too trivial and lack of concision. Suggest the authors to make a flow chart to illustrate this process.

3. **Major Compulsory Revisions**
   The items were logically extracted according to the meaning of statements and the outcomes of statistical analyses. Please add the variances explained by each factors.

4. **Major Compulsory Revisions**
   The authors presented several points in the discussion section, however, need more citations to support their views.

5. **Major Compulsory Revisions**
   The paper may contribute to advance knowledge on cultured-based care. However, a major problem on lack of academic writing style needs to be improved, including the use of punctuation. Please refer to previous articles of the BMC Health Services Research.

**Level of interest:** An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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