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Dear Editor,

We send the revised article “Utilization of antenatal ultrasound scan and implications for caesarean section: a cross-sectional study in rural Eastern China”. We have further revised the manuscript according to the forth round comments sent by the reviewers on 15 March 2012.

Thank you, once again, for giving us the opportunity to improve our work. We look forward to your decision.

Reviewer: Pisake Lumbiganon

Reviewer's report:
I think the authors did respond adequately to Dr. Feng's comments. I would support publishing this paper. However, although I do not want to add other issues, but I think the manuscript could be further improved by shortening the discussion part especially on the part of "Possible causes of high rate of antenatal ultrasound scan" which is not the main focus of this study. Also, references should be limited only to those really relevant.

Response: Many thanks for the reviewer’s suggestion and we much agree with the reviewer. We have shortened the Discussion part, especially on the part of "Possible causes of high rate of antenatal ultrasound scan". References have been screened as closely relevant to the text. And also, we have amended some tenses in the Discussion part.