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Reviewer's report:

1. The question is important and well defined.
2. There are qualitative data, which are useful, but uncontrolled
3. The interpretation is balanced and supported by the data and the take-home points are thoughtful and useful
4. The methods are a bit skimpy, but ok for a qualitative case report
5. Strengths of the methods are direct data from a source that is usually not accessible; weakness: qualitative only.
6. Writing, organization much improved
7. Revisions: much better than original paper
8. no ethical or competing issues