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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsary Revisions
1. The article is too long. The authors need to be very selective about the amount of text.
2. Too many abbreviations are breaking the flow of reading.
3. The results should be presented after the methods.
4. The methods should be brief.
5. The results are too long and supplemented by a tonn of references while the case you were supposed to build up on in the discussion lacked the robust referencing.
6. The introduction should start with a global picture of the HIV epidemic then move to asia, south east asia and then Vietnam. The starting seems abrupt.

Minor essential revisions
1. The spellings of Vietnam are different at many places.
2. Reference 3 - et al. usually after the name of the 6th author.
3. The references are not uniform. for example reference # 8.
4. you have given page numbers in some references from grey literature (ref. 12 and 13) and not in others e.g (ref # 26)
5. Too many figures and tables.
6. Figure 2 has no description or caption.

Discretionary revisions
1. Consider reducing the text, tables, figures and abbreviations. For example fig 4 is an appropriate figure and should be the main focus of the authors.
2. The abstract should be brief and should be able to give the complete idea in the minimum amount of words prefereably below 300.
3. Consider reviewing the title to make it more attractive for the readers, with more MeSH terms to increase the chances of people finding your article easily.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests
Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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