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Reviewer’s report:

General comments.
Thank you to the authors for providing further clarification of their study and methods they have employed. I have found this has enhanced my understanding. This study is unique and of importance to the field of health economics.

Type of revisions: Minor discretionary revisions.

Abstract Authors have written SF36, consider spelling out abbreviation in Abstract and include HK to denote Hong Kong version.

Methods (Randomised controlled trial); Consider spelling out MMSE first time.

Answers to specific questions:
Is the question posed by authors well defined? Yes the question is well defined
Are the methods appropriate and well described? Yes
Are the data sound? Yes
Does the manuscript adhere to relevant standard for reporting data and deposition? Yes
Are the limitations of the work clearly stated? Yes
Do the authors acknowledge any work upon which they are building both published and unpublished? Yes.
Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found? Yes.
Is the writing acceptable? Writing is acceptable.

Level of interest: Very interesting article relating to the cost effectiveness of hospital practice.

Quality of written English: Good

Statistical review: No the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a
statistician.
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