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Reviewer's report:

Dear Authors,

I am happy with the changes you have made to this manuscript and the responses you have provided to my comments.

I have only two minor discretionary suggestions:

1) I would suggest sending a copy of the manuscript to a native English speaker to spend one or two hours looking through the manuscript to suggest how certain sentences could be rephrased to convey the same meaning but in a way that sounds more natural to native English readers. Without doing this, I think the written English is still perfectly acceptable, and conveys the meaning clearly. With this additional final stage, however, the article may better appreciated and received by BMC readers.

2) I would suggest slightly revising the formatting of the CEACs so that it's still possible to tell which curve refers to which treatment option when viewing a monochrome print-out of the paper. Doing this in R would just mean cycling through different line width and line type options within the plot and legend functions, for example:

legend( {whatever you have already} , lwd=c(1,1, 2, 2, 2), lty=c(1, 2, 1, 2, 3))

Will produce a unique width and line type combination for each of the treatment options that can be seen in a monochrome figure.

Kind regards,

Jon

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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