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Reviewer’s report:

After reading the reply and the re-reading the manuscript it is my opinion, that the manuscript has become substantially clearer on some important points, addressing all of my previous points. There is however one last concern.

Major revisions

1. This article essentially tries to present SSB as a viable alternative to 4LB. Such a conclusion would be more convincingly supported if the sensitivity analysis would include an analysis that would clarify that even if SSB is administered in the most expensive manner possible, it would still cost less than the 4LB, as the differences in costs between the two treatments are mainly driven by bandages. For example different 6 cm bandages may have various prices depending on if they are from example from Comprilan or from Beiersdorf-Jobst. It is possible that such a sensitivity analysis could be best performed separately for the three typically used SSB systems.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.